1	NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN by and through Plaintiff David Almeida's ("Plaintiff")
2	counsel of record, that Plaintiff does not oppose Defendant Google Inc.'s ("Google") Motion to
3	Dismiss pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(1).
4	Google moves to dismiss the action, with prejudice, for lack of subject matter jurisdiction
5	based on Plaintiff's lack of standing to represent the putative class. Plaintiff does not oppose the
6	dismissal of the action pursuant to FRCP 12(b)(1). However, the Ninth Circuit has held that if a
7	district court dismisses an action for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, the dismissal must be
8	made without prejudice. See Kelly v. Fleetwood Enterprises, Inc., 377 F.3d 1034, 1036 (9th Cir.
9	2004) (stating that the district court should have dismissed the action without prejudice because
10	it lacked subject matter jurisdiction); see also Kendall v. Dept. of Veterans Affairs, 2009 WL
11	5184127, *1 (9th Cir. 2009).
12	Moreover, Plaintiff has been attempting to stipulate to a dismissal of this action since
13	June 2009. [Storti Decl., ¶¶ 3-6.]
14	Accordingly, Plaintiff does not oppose the dismissal of this action but requests that the
15	dismissal be made without prejudice.
16	
17	DATED: March 12, 2010 By: /s/ KABATECK BROWN KELLNER LLP
18	Brian S. Kabateck Richard L. Kellner
19	Alfredo Torrijos Counsel for Plaintiff and proposed class
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	-2-